Chapter 12: Buildings

Presenter:

Chris D. Poland PE, SE, NAE
Consulting Engineer
NIST Disaster Resilience Fellow

Chairman, CEO Degenkolb Engineers (Retired)

Buildings Matrix

Table 9-11: Riverbend, USA building performance goals for design earthquake

I	Disturbance ¹	
Hazard Type	Earthquake	
Hazard Level	Design	
Affected Area	Community	
Disruption Level	Moderate	

1	Restoration Levels ^{2,3}
30%	Function Restored
60%	Function Restored
90%	Function Restored
X	Anticipated Performance

Building Clusters	Support Needed ⁴	Design Hazard Performance								
		Phase 1 Short-Term Days			Phase 2 Intermediate Weeks			Phase 3 Long-Term		
								Months		
		0	1	1-3	1-4	4-8	8-12	4	4-24	24+
		Building Performance Category								
			A			В		C		D
Critical Facilities						ot v	100			
Emergency Operation Centers	R, S, MS	90%							X	
First Responder Facilities	R, S, MS	90%							X	
Memorial Hospital	R, S, MS	90%							X	
Non-ambulatory Occupants (prisons, nursing homes, etc.)	R, S, MS	90%							Х	
National Aircraft Parts Factory (NAP)	R, S, C	90%							X	
Emergency Housing										
Temporary Emergency Shelters	R, S	30%	90%							X
Single and Multi-family Housing (Shelter in place)	R, S	60%			90%					Х
Housing/Neighborhood										
Critical Retail	R, S, C		30%	60%	90%					X
Religious and Spiritual Centers	R, S			30%	60%	90%				X
Single and Multi-family Housing (Full Function)	R, S			30%		60%		90%		X
Schools	R, S			30%	60%	90%				X
Hotels & Motels	R, S, C			30%		60%	90%			X
Community Recovery										
Businesses - Manufacturing (except NAP)	R, S, C				30%	60%	90%			X
Businesses - Commodity Services	R, S, C				30%	60%		90%		X
Businesses - Service Professions	R, S, C				30%		60%		90%	X
Conference & Event Venues	R, S, C				30%		60%		90%	X

Footnotes:

- 1 Specify hazard type being considered
 - Specify hazard level Routine, Design, Extreme
 - Specify the anticipated size of the area affected Local, Community, Regional
 - Specify anticipated severity of disruption Minor, Moderate, Severe
- 2 30% 60% 90% Desired restoration times for percentage of elements within the cluster
- Anticipated performance for 90% restoration of cluster for existing buildings and infrastructure systems

 Cluster recovery times will be shown on the Summary Matrix
- 4 Indicate levels of support anticipated by plan
 - R = Regional; S= State; MS=Multi-State; C = Civil (Corporate/Local)



SIX-STEP PROCESS TO PLANNING FOR COMMUNITY RESILIENCE FORM A COLLABORATIVE PLANNING TEAM · Identify leader · Identify team members · Identify key stakeholders **UNDERSTAND THE SITUATION Social Dimensions** Characterize social functions & dependencies Identify support by built environment · Identify key contacts Identify and characterize built environment Identify key contacts · Identify existing community plans **Link Social Functions & Built Environment** · Define clusters **ETERMINE GOALS & OBJECTIVES** · Establish long-term community goals · Establish performance goals . Define community hazards · Determine anticipated performance PLAN DEVELOPMENT · Evaluate gaps · Identify solutions Develop implementation strategy LAN PREPARATION, REVIEW, AND APPROVAL · Document plan and strategy · Obtain feedback and approva · Finalize and approve plan PLAN IMPLEMENTATION AND MAINTENANCE Execute approved solutions · Evaluate and update · Modify strategy as needed

Figure 1-1: Six-step planning process for community resilience

Buildings Chapter

Provides Guidance for:

Step 2 – Understand the situation

Identify and Characterize built environment

Step 3 – Determine Goals and Objectives

- Establish Performance Goals
- Determine Anticipated Performance

Step 4 – Plan Development

Develop Implementation Strategy





Current Building Design Criteria Section 12.2

General Basis – Prescriptive Model Codes and Standards

- 2015 International Buildings Code
- 2015 National Fire Protection Association
- American Society of Civil Engineers Standard 7

Basis for design criteria used to determine desired performance—

- Use
- Occupancy
- Public Health, Safety and Welfare
- Risk Categories to address structural failure



Defined Performance Categories Table 12-2

A – Safe & Operational

B – Safe & Usable During Repair

C - Safe & Not Usable

D - Unsafe







Section 12.2 and 12.3 link occupancies and code provisions with performance categories



Achieving Specific Performance Goals Section 12.5

- Hazard levels and design loads defined based ASCE 7-10 and local determination.
- Current code design provisions need to be modified to achieve performance levels for new buildings.
- Existing buildings built to outdated codes likely need to be retrofit or replaced to meet community goals.





Determining Anticipated Performance Section 12.6.1

- Codes, standards, and building practice have been constantly evolving
- Structural standards are typically not retroactive
- Available evaluation tools are identified
 - ASCE 41-13 for Seismic
 - HAZUS for community level assessment
 - FEMA Design and Evaluation Guides
 - Other available tools from ICC and ATC





Photos courtesy of Degenkolb Engineers



Implementation Guidance

- Future Construction Section 12.6.2
 - Adopt local requirements as needed to achieve community determined performance goals.
 - Only build outside of flood zones
 - Implement design provisions to limit damage
 - Design for drainage during design level rain events
- Existing Construction Section 12.6.3
 - Encourage voluntary retrofit and implement mandatory requirements when needed
 - Includes hazard specific recommendations

